



## ON SOSHEARENERGY OF TREES OF DIAMETER 4 - PART I

S. P. Jeyakokila\* &amp; P. Sumathi\*\*

\* Department of Mathematics, Lady Doak College, Madurai, Tamilnadu

\*\* Department of Mathematics, C.K.N.C, Chennai, Tamilnadu

**Cite This Article:** S. P. Jeyakokila & P. Sumathi, "On soShearEnergy of Trees of Diameter 4 - Part I", International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education, Volume 2, Issue 1, Page Number 98-107, 2017.

**Copy Right:** © IJERME, 2017 (All Rights Reserved). This is an Open Access Article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract:**

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple, non-trivial, finite, connected graph. A set  $D \subset V$  is a dominating set of  $G$  if every vertex in  $V-D$  is adjacent to some vertex in  $D$ . A dominating set  $D$  of  $G$  is called a minimal dominating set if no proper subset of  $D$  is a dominating set. Shear Energy of a graph with respect to the minimal dominating set in terms of idegree and odegree was introduced by B. D. Acharya et al [1]. There are many patterns in trees of diameter 4. In this paper, 4 patterns of trees of diameter 4 are considered and soShearEnergy are calculated for all possible minimal dominating set. SoShearEnergy curve for those graphs are plotted. Remaining patterns are discussed in the papers to come.

**Key Words:** idegree, odegree, oShearEnergy & soShearEnergy

**1. Introduction:**

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple, finite, non trivial connected graph. A set  $D \subset V$  is adjacent to some vertex in  $D$ . A dominating set  $D$  of  $G$  is called a minimal dominating set if no proper subset of  $D$  is a dominating set. In the year 2007, Shearenergy of a graph with respect to the minimal dominating set in terms of idegree and odegree was introduced by B.D.Acharya et al [1]. In the earlier paper soShearEnergy of many graph are been calculated.[2] [3] [4]. Let us consider some trees of diameter 4 and denote it by  $T_{d=4}$ .  $T_{d=4}$  contains three internal vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  and the number of pendent vertices attached to these vertices are  $n_1, n_2$  and  $n_3$  respectively. Label a pendent vertex at  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  as  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  respectively. In this paper let us consider four types of trees of diameter 4. Remaing trees are considered in the papers to come.

The four types of trees which are considered are  $T_{d=4}$  with

Type 1:  $n_i \geq 2, i = 1, 3$  and  $n_2 \geq 1$

Type 2:  $n_i \geq 2, i = 1, 3$  and  $n_2 = 0$

Type 3:  $n_1 > 2, n_2 > 1$  and  $n_3 = 1$

Type 4:  $n_1 = 1, n_2 > 1$  and  $n_3 \geq 1$

Basic definitions are given below

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $S$  be a subset of  $V(G)$ . Let  $v \in V-S$ , the idegree of  $v$  with respect to  $S$  is the number of neighbours of  $v$  in  $V-S$  and it is denoted by  $id_S(v)$ .

**Definition 1.2:** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $S$  be a subset of  $V(G)$ . Let  $v \in V-S$ , the odegree of  $v$  with respect to  $S$  is the number of neighbours of  $v$  in  $S$  and is denoted as  $od_S(v)$ .

**Definition 1.3:** Let  $G$  be graph and  $S$  be a subset of  $V(G)$ . Let  $v \in V-S$ , the oidegree of  $v$  with respect to  $S$  is  $od_S(v) - id_S(v)$  if  $od_S(v) > id_S(v)$  and it is denoted by  $oid_S(v)$ .

**Definition 1.4:** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $S$  be a subset of  $V(G)$ . Let  $v \in V-S$ , the ioddegree of  $v$  with respect to  $S$  is  $id_S(v) - od_S(v)$  if  $id_S(v) > od_S(v)$  and it is denoted by  $iod_S(v)$ .

**Definition 1.5:** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $D$  be a dominating set, oShearEnergy of a graph with respect to  $D$  denoted by  $osE_D(G)$  is the summation of all oid if  $od > id$  or otherwise zero .

**Definition 1.6:** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $D$  be a minimal dominating set ,then energy curve is the curve obtained by joining the oShearEnergies with respect to  $D_{i-1}$  and  $D_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  , taking the number of vertices of  $D_i$  along the x axis and the oShearEnergy with respect to the  $D_i$  along the y axis.

**Definition 1.7:** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $D$  be a minimal dominating set, soShearEnergy of a graph with respect to

$D$  is  $\sum_{i=0}^{|V-D|} osE_{D_{i+1}}(G)$  where  $D_{i+1} = D_i \cup V_{i+1}$ ,  $V_{i+1}$  is a singleton vertex with minimum oidegree of  $V-D_i$

and  $D_0$  is a minimal dominating set where  $0 \leq i \leq |V-D|$ , it is denoted by  $sosE_D(G)$ .

**Definition 1.8:** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $MDS(G)$  be the set of all minimal dominating set of  $G$ , then Hardihood<sup>+</sup> of a graph  $G$  is  $\max\{ sos\mathcal{E}_{MDS(G)}(G) \}$  is denoted as  $HD^+(G)$ .

**Definition 1.9:** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $MDS(G)$  be the set of all minimal dominating set of  $G$ , then Hardihood<sup>-</sup> of a graph  $G$  is  $\min\{ sos\mathcal{E}_{MDS(G)}(G) \}$  is denoted as  $HD^-(G)$ . Let us denote tree of diameter 4 and of type  $t1, t2, t3, t4$  by  $T_{d=4,ti}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

**Theorem 1.7:**

Let  $T_{d=n}$  be a tree of diameter  $n$  with  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{n-1}$  be the number of pendent vertices attached to  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}$ . Let  $D$  be the minimal connected dominating set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ . Then  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D) = \frac{(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D))(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D)+1)}{2}$  where  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D) = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{n-1}$ .

**Proof:**


Let  $T_{d=n}$  be a tree of diameter  $n$  with  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{n-1}$  be the number of pendent vertices attached to  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}$ . Let  $D$  be the minimal connected dominating set and cardinality of  $D$  is  $n-1$ , then  $V-D$  contains all  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{n-1}$  pendent vertices, and the cardinality of the set  $V-D$  is  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{n-1}$ . Since all the vertices in  $V-D$  are pendent vertices  $id=0$ ,  $od=1$  and  $oid=1$ . Hence  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D) = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{n-1}$ .

By a theorem and algorithm in [2],  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D) = \frac{(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D))(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D)+1)}{2}$  where

$$os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=n}}(D) = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{n-1}.$$

Let us now consider the each type of trees one by one.

soShearEnergy of Type 1 Trees:



**Lemma 2.1:**

Let  $T_{d=n,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with given minimal dominating set  ${}^1D$  which is connected, then  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(D) = \frac{(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^1D))(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^1D)+1)}{2}$  where  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^1D) = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=n,t}$  be tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^1D$  which is connected dominating set. For the given tree,  $|{}^1D| = 3$  and  $|V - {}^1D| = \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i$ .

All the vertices in the set  $V - {}^1D$  are pendent vertices, hence by theorem 1.9,

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(D) = \frac{(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^1D))(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^1D)+1)}{2} \text{ where } os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^1D) = n_1 + n_2 + n_3.$$

**Lemma 2.2:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with given minimal dominating set  ${}^2D$  which is the compliment of the dominating set  ${}^1D$ , then

✓ If  $n_1 - 1 > n_2 \ \& \ n_3$  then

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^2D) = \begin{cases} 3n_1 + 2n_2 + n_3 - 4 & \text{if } n_2 - 2 > n_3 - 1 \\ 3n_1 + n_2 + 2n_3 - 4 & \text{if } n_3 - 1 > n_2 - 2 \\ 3n_1 + 2n_2 + n_3 - 1 & \text{if } n_2 - 2 = n_3 - 1 \end{cases}$$

✓ If  $n_2 - 1 > n_1 \ \& \ n_3$  then

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^2D) = \begin{cases} n_1 + 3n_2 + 2n_3 - 2 & \text{if } n_3 - 1 > n_1 - 1 \\ 2n_1 + 3n_2 + n_3 - 2 & \text{if } n_1 - 1 > n_3 - 1 \\ n_1 + 3n_2 + 2n_3 - 3 & \text{if } n_1 - 1 = n_3 - 1 \end{cases}$$

✓ If  $n_3 - 1 > n_1 \ \& \ n_2$  then

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = \begin{cases} n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 - 2 & \text{if } n_2 - 2 > n_1 - 1 \\ 2n_1 + n_2 + 3n_3 - 2 & \text{if } n_1 - 1 > n_2 - 2 \\ 2n_1 + n_2 + 3n_3 - 3 & \text{if } n_2 - 2 = n_1 - 1 \end{cases}$$

✓ If  $n_1 - 1 = n_2 - 1 = n_3 - 1$  then

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = 2n_1 + n_2 + 3n_3 - 1$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with the given minimal dominating set  $^2D$  which is the set of all pendent vertices, with  $|^2D| = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 2$  and  $|V - ^2D| = 3$ .

$id(v_i) = 1$ ,  $od(v_i) = n_i$  and  $oid(v_i) = n_i - 1$  for  $v_i \in V - ^2D$  such that  $d(v_i) = n_i + 1, i = 1, 3$ .

$id(v) = 2, od(v) = n_2$  &  $oid(v) = n_2 - 2$  for  $v \in V - ^2D$  such that  $d(v) = n_2 + 2$ .

Therefore  $os \in_{T_{d=4,t1}} (^2D) = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - 4$ .

**Case (i):** Let us consider  $n_1 - 1 > n_2 - 2 \ \& \ n_3 - 1$ , then the vertex to be shifted is the vertex of degree  $n_3 + 1$ , then there is change in vertex of degree  $n_2 + 2$ , then  $id(v) = 1, od(v) = n_2 + 1$  &  $oid(v) = n_2$  for  $v \in V - ^2D$  and  $d(v) = n_2 + 2$ .

Hence  $os \in_{T_{d=4,t1}} (^2D_1) = n_1 + n_2 - 1$ . If  $n_1 > n_2$ , then the vertex to be shifted is vertex of degree  $n_2 + 2$  then

$$os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D_2) = n_1 + 1$$

$$\text{Therefore } sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = \begin{cases} 3n_1 + 2n_2 + n_3 - 4 & \text{if } n_2 - 2 > n_3 - 1 \\ 3n_1 + n_2 + 2n_3 - 4 & \text{if } n_3 - 1 > n_2 - 2 \end{cases}$$

Subcase: Let us consider  $n_1 - 1 > n_2 - 2 = n_3 - 1$ . Since the vertex  $v_2$  have higher indegree,  $v_2$  is shifted to the dominating set. Then there is change in indegree, odegree and oidegrees of vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_3$ . For vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  indegree is 0, odegrees of  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  are  $n_1 + 1, n_3 + 1$  respectively. Then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D_2) = n_1 + n_3 + 2$ . Shifting vertex  $v_3$ ,  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D_3) = n_1 + 1$ .

Then  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = 3n_1 + n_2 + 2n_3 - 1$ .

**Case (ii):** Let us consider  $n_2 - 2 > n_3 - 1 \ \& \ n_1 - 1$ , then by the above argument,

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = \begin{cases} n_1 + 3n_2 + 2n_3 - 2 & \text{if } n_2 - 2 > n_3 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 - 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Subcase (i): Let us consider  $n_2 - 2 > n_3 - 1 = n_1 - 1$ . Since  $n_3 - 1 = n_1 - 1$ , they have the same indegree, any one of the vertices  $v_1$  or  $v_3$  can be shifted to the dominating set. With out loss of generality, let us choose the vertex  $v_1$  and shift vertex  $v_1$  to the dominating set. Then  $id(v_2) = 1, od(v_2) = n_2 + 1$  and  $oid(v_2) = n_2$ . Then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D_2) = n_2 + n_3 + 1$ . Then shifting vertex  $v_3$  to the dominating set we get  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D_3) = n_2 + 2$ . Therefore  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = n_1 + 3n_2 + n_3 - 3$ .

**Case (iii):** Let us consider  $n_3 - 1 > n_2 - 2 > n_1 - 1$ , then the vertex to be shifted is the vertex of degree  $n_1 + 1$ , then as in the above case there is change in the vertex of degree  $n_2 + 2$  and  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D_2) = n_2 + n_3 - 1$ . Therefore  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = \begin{cases} n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 - 2 & \text{if } n_3 - 1 > n_2 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 - 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Subcase (i): Let us consider. By similar argument in Subcase of (i), we get the result  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = 2n_1 + n_2 + 3n_3 - 1$ .

**Case (iv):** Let us consider  $n_1 - 1 = n_2 - 2 = n_3 - 1 = x$ . As the three vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are in a path,  $id(v_i) = 1, i = 1, 3, od(v_i) = n_i, i = 1, 3$  and  $oid(v_i) = n_i - 1$ .

For the vertex  $v_2, id(v_2) = 2, od(v_2) = n_2$  and  $oid(v_2) = n_2$ . As all the three oids are equal choose vertex  $v_2$  with greater id. Then  $id(v_i) = 0, i = 1, 3; od(v_i) = n_i + 1, i = 1, 3$  and  $oid(v_i) = n_i + 1$ . Hence  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D_2) = n_1 + n_3 + 2$ .

Without loss of generality choose vertex  $v_1$  and shift to the dominating set, then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D_3) = n_3 + 1$ .

Hence  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^2D) = 2n_1 + n_2 + 3n_3 - 1$ .

**Lemma 2.3:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with given minimal dominating sets  $^3D$ . If  $^3D = A \cup B$  where  $A$  is set of vertices of degree  $n_1 + 2$  and  $n_3 + 2$  and  $B$  is the  $n_2$  pendent vertices, then  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(D) = (n_1 + n_3 + 1)(n_2 + 2) \frac{(n_1 + n_3)}{2}$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with the given minimal dominating set  $^3D$ . The dominating set  $^3D$  contains vertices of degree  $n_1 + 2, n_3 + 2$  and  $n_2$  pendent vertices. Then  $|^3D| = n_2 + 2$ . The set  $V - D$  contains  $n_1 + n_3$  pendent vertices and a vertex of degree  $n_2 + 2$ , then  $|V - ^3D| = n_1 + n_3 + 1$ .

All the vertices in the set  $V - ^3D$  are not adjacent to each other have indegree zero. For the  $n_1 + n_3$  pendent vertices odegrees are 1, oidegrees is also 1. For the remaining one vertex odegree is  $n_2 + 2$ , oidegree is  $n_2 + 2$ . Therefore  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^3D_1) = n_1 + n_3 + n_2 + 2$ .

Then by theorem in [2], we get  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(D) = (n_1 + n_3 + 1)(n_2 + 2) \frac{(n_1 + n_3)}{2}$ .

**Lemma 2.4:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with given minimal dominating set  $^4D = (^3D)^c$ , then

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^4D) = \begin{cases} s - (s-1) + \dots + (s-n_2) + n_3 & \text{if } n_1 < n_3 \\ s - (s-1) + \dots + (s-n_2) + n_1 & \text{if } n_3 > n_1 \end{cases} \text{ where } s = os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4}}(^4D_1).$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with the given minimal dominating set  $^4D = (^3D)^c$ . Then by above case  $|^4D| = n_1 + n_3 + 1$  and  $|V - ^4D| = n_2 + 2$ . indegree of all the vertices in the set  $V - ^4D$  are zero and odegree of both the internal vertices are  $n_1$  and  $n_3$  and of the pendent vertices are one. Therefore  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^4D_1) = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$

By algorithm and by simplification, we can write

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^4D) = s - (s-1) + \dots + (s-n_2) + n_3 \text{ if } n_1 < n_3.$$

If  $n_1 > n_3$ ,  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^4D) = s - (s-1) + \dots + (s-n_2) + n_1$ .

**Lemma 2.5:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with given minimal dominating set  $^5D$ . If  $^5D = A \cup B$  where  $A$  is set of  $n_2 + n_3$  pendent vertices,  $B$  is vertex of degree  $n_1 + 2$  and  $C$  is the pendent vertex at distance 3 from vertex of degree  $n_1 + 2$ , then

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t1}}(^5D) = \begin{cases} s - (s-1) + \dots + (s-n_1) + n_3 & \text{if } n_2 < n_3 \\ s - (s-1) + \dots + (s-n_1) + n_2 & \text{if } n_3 > n_2 \end{cases}$$

Where  $s = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - 1$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^5D$ . The dominating set  ${}^5D$  contains the vertex  $v_1$  and the pendent vertices of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ . Therefore  $|{}^5D|=1+n_2+n_3$  and  $|V-{}^5D|=n_1+2$ . The indegree and outdegree of all the  $n_1$  pendent vertices are 0 and 1, therefore indegree is one. For the remaining two vertices, indegree is 1 and outdegree is  $n_2+1$  and  $n_3$ . Therefore the outdegree of these two vertices are  $n_2$  and  $n_3$ . Therefore  $sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^5D)=n_1+n_2+n_3-1$ . On simplification, we can write  $sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^5D)=s+(s-1)+...+(s-n_1)+n_3$  if  $n_2 < n_3-1$   $sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^5D)=s+(s-1)+...+(s-n_1)+n_2$  if  $n_2 > n_3-1$  where  $s=n_1+n_2+n_3-1$ .

**Lemma 2.6:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with given minimal dominating set  ${}^6D$ . If  ${}^6D=AUB$  where A is the singleton set  $v_3$  and B is the  $n_1+n_3$  pendent vertices, then

$$sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^6D)=\begin{cases} s-(s-1)+...+(s-n_3)+n_1 & \text{if } n_2 < n_1 \text{ where } s=n_1+n_2+n_3-1. \\ s-(s-1)+...+(s-n_3)+n_2 & \text{if } n_3 > n_2 \end{cases}$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^6D$ . The dominating set  ${}^6D$  contains  $v_3$  and the  $n_1+n_3$  pendent vertices. Replacing all  $n_1$  with  $n_3$  in the above case we get ,


$$sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^6D)=\begin{cases} s-(s-1)+...+(s-n_3)+n_1 & \text{if } n_2 < n_1 \\ s-(s-1)+...+(s-n_3)+n_2 & \text{if } n_3 > n_2 \end{cases}$$

From the above result we can conclude the following result with  $n_1=2, n_2=1, n_3=2$ .

**Theorem 2.7:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t1}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 1 with given minimal dominating set  ${}^iD, i=1,2,3,4,5,6$ , then

1.  $HD^+(T_{d=4,t1})=sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^3D)$
2.  $HD^-(T_{d=4,t1})=sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t1}}({}^2D)$
3. soShearEnergy of Type 2 Trees:



**Lemma 3.1:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^1D$  where  ${}^1D=\{v: d(v) \geq 3\}$  then,  $sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t2}}({}^1D)=\frac{n_1+n_3}{2}(5+n_1+n_3)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2. Let  ${}^1D$  be the minimal dominating set with vertices of degree greater than 3, then  $|{}^1D|=2$  and  $|V-{}^1D|=1+n_1+n_3$ . Therefore the  $sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t2}}({}^1D)=2+n_1+n_3$ .

By theorem in [2] and by simplification we get  $sos\epsilon_{T_{d=4,t2}}({}^1D)=\frac{n_1+n_3}{2}(5+n_1+n_3)$ .

**Lemma 3.2:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^2D=({}^1D)^C$  then,

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^1D) = \begin{cases} 2n_1 + n_3 + 3 & \text{if } n_1 > n_3 \\ n_1 + 2n_3 + 3 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2 with the given minimal dominating set  $^2D = (^1D)^C$ , then by the above case,  $|^2D| = n_1 + n_3 + 1$  and  $|V - ^2D| = 2$ . The indegree and odegree of both the vertices are zero, odegree of vertex of degree  $n_1 + 1$  is  $n_1 + 1$  and for the vertex of degree  $n_3 + 1$  is  $n_3 + 1$ . Hence odegree of these two vertices are  $n_1 + 1$  and  $n_3 + 1$ . Hence  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^2D_1) = n_1 + n_3 + 2$ . Therefore by theorem in [2],

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^1D) = \begin{cases} 2n_1 + n_3 + 3 & \text{if } n_1 > n_3 \\ n_1 + 2n_3 + 3 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.3:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2 with the given minimal dominating set  $^3D = A \cup B$  where  $A$  is a vertex of degree  $n_1$ ,  $B$  is the set of all  $n_3$  pendent vertices then,

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^3D) = n_1\left(\frac{2n_3 + n_1 + 1}{2}\right) + (n_3 - 1) + 2.$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2. Let  $^3D$  be the minimal dominating set with  $n_3$  pendent vertices, one vertex of degree  $n_1$ . Then  $|^3D| = n_3 + 1$  and  $|V - ^3D| = n_1 + 3$ .

The indegree of  $n_1$  pendent vertices are zero, odegree is one, odegree is 1. The indegree and odegree of vertex  $v_2$  is 1, hence odegree is 0. Remaining vertex of degree  $n_1 + 2$  have indegree 1 and odegree  $n_3$  and odegree is  $n_3 - 1$ . Therefore the  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^3D_1) = (n_1 + n_3)$ . By the algorithm, the vertex to be shifted to the D set is vertex of degree 1,  $n_1$  pendent vertices are shifted one by one. At the  $n_1^{th}$  stage  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^3D_{n_1}) = n_3 - 1$ . At the  $n_1 + 1^{th}$  stage vertex  $v_3$  is shifted to the D set, then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^3D_{n_1+1}) = 2$ .

By definition,  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^3D) = (n_1 + n_3) + n_3 + (n_1 - 1) + \dots + n_3 + (n_3 - 1) + 2$ .

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^3D) = n_1\left(\frac{2n_3 + n_1 + 1}{2}\right) + (n_3 - 1) + 2.$$

**Lemma 3.4:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2 with the given minimal dominating set  $^4D = A \cup B$  where  $A$  is a vertex of degree  $n_3$ ,  $B$  is the set of all  $n_1$  pendent vertices be the given minimal dominating set then  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^4D) = n_3\left(\frac{2n_1 + n_3 + 1}{2}\right) + (n_1 - 1) + 2$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2 with  $n_1, n_3$  be the pendent vertices attached to the vertex  $v_1$  and  $v_3$ . Let  $^4D$  is the minimal dominating set with  $n_1$  pendent vertices, one vertex of degree  $n_3 + 2$ . Then  $|^4D| = n_1 + 1$  and  $|V - ^4D| = n_3 + 3$ . By replacing  $n_1$  with  $n_3$  in the above theorem we get the result

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^4D) = n_3\left(\frac{2n_1 + n_3 + 1}{2}\right) + (n_1 - 1) + 2.$$

**Theorem 3.5:**


Let  $T_{d=4,t2}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 2 with the given minimal dominating set  $^1D, ^2D, ^3D, ^4D$  with  $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 0, n_3 = 2$ , then

$$1. HD^+(T_{d=4,t2}) = sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^4D).$$

$$2. HD^*(T_{d=4,t2}) = sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t2}}(^2D).$$

**Proof:** From Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.4, the results holds good.4.

soShearEnergy of Type 3 Trees



**Lemma 4.1:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^1D$  which is the connected dominating set then,  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D) = \frac{os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^1D)(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^1D)+1)}{2}$  where  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}} = n_1 + n_2$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^1D$  which is a connected dominating set. Since the given tree is of diameter 4,  $|^1D| = 3$  and  $|V - ^1D| = n_1 + n_2$ .

By theorem 1.9,  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D) = \frac{os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^1D)(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^1D)+1)}{2}$  where  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}} = n_1 + n_2$ .

**Lemma 4.2:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^2D$  is the compliment of  $^1D$  and  $n_1 - 1, n_2 - 2 > 1$ , then,

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}} = \begin{cases} 3n_1 + n_2 + 1 & \text{if } n_1 - 1 > n_2 - 2 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^2D$  is the set of all vertices of degree one, then  $|^2D| = n_1 + n_2$  and  $|V - ^2D| = 3$ . It consists of the vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  of degree  $n_1 + 1, n_2 + 2, 2$ . Therefore indegree of the vertex  $v_1$  is 1, of the vertex  $v_2$  is 2, of the vertex  $v_3$  is 1. The odegree of these vertices are  $n_1, n_2, 1$ . Therefore oidegree of these vertices are  $n_1 + 1, n_2 + 2, 2$  and 0 respectively. Therefore  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^2D) = n_1 + n_2 - 3$ .

Case (i): Let us consider  $n_1 - 1 > n_2 - 2 > 1$ , then vertex to be shifted is vertex of degree  $n_2 + 2$ .  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^2D_2) = n_1 + 3$ . Vertex of degree 2 is shifted and  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^2D_3) = n_1 + 1$ .

Hence  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^2D) = 3n_1 + n_2 + 1$ .

Case (ii): Let us consider  $n_2 - 2 > n_1 - 1 > 1$  then similar to the above case,  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^2D) = n_1 + 2n_2$ .

Hence  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}} = \begin{cases} 3n_1 + n_2 + 1 & \text{if } n_1 - 1 > n_2 - 2 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

**Lemma 4.3:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^3D = A \cup B$  where A is the set of vertices  $v_1, v_2$  and B is singleton set  $u_2$

Then  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^3D) = \frac{os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^3D_1)(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^3D_1) - 2)}{2}$ . where  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^3D_1) = n_1 + n_2 + 2$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^3D$ .  $^3D$  is the set of vertices of degree greater than 3 and the pendent vertex  $n_2$ . Then  $|^3D| = 3$  and  $|V - ^3D| = n_1 + n_2 + 1$ .

As  $n_1 + n_2$  vertices in the set are pendent vertices their indegree is 0 and outdegree is 1. For the remaining one vertex, from the construction it is clear that, it is a vertex of degree 2. As the end vertex is also in the set  $D$  indegree is 0 and outdegree is 2. Therefore  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^3D_1) = n_1 + n_2 + 2$ .

Then, by theorem in [2] and by simplification,  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^3D) = \frac{os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^3D_1)(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^3D_1) - 2)}{2}$ .

**Lemma 4.4:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^4D = (^3D)^c$ ,

$$\text{then } sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}} = \begin{cases} 3n_1 + 2n_2 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 - 1 \\ 3n_1 + n_2 + 3 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 = 0 \\ 2n_1 + 3n_2 & \text{if } n_2 > n_1 - 1 \\ n_1 + 3n_2 + 2 & \text{if } n_2 > n_1 = 1 \end{cases}$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^4D = (^3D)^c$ . The set contains vertices of degree  $n_1 + 1, n_2 + 2$ . Hence  $|V - ^4D| = 3$ . The vertices of degree  $n_1 + 1$  and  $n_2 + 2$  are adjacent to each other, hence their indegrees are 1 and outdegree are  $n_1$  and  $n_2 + 1$  respectively, hence outdegree are  $n_1 - 1 \& n_2$ . For the vertex  $u_2$  indegree is 0, outdegree is 1 and outdegree is 1.

Hence  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D_1) = n_1 - 1 + n_2 + 1 = n_1 + n_2$ .

If  $n_1 > n_2 > 1$ , then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D_2) = \begin{cases} n_1 + n_2 - 1 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 > 1 \\ n_1 + 2 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 = 1 \end{cases}$ .

$os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D_3) = \begin{cases} n_1 + 1 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 > 1 \\ n_2 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 = 1 \end{cases}$ .

Hence  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D) = \begin{cases} 3n_1 + 2n_2 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 > 1 \\ 3n_1 + n_2 + 3 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 = 1 \end{cases}$ .

If  $n_2 > n_1 > 1$ , then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D_2) = \begin{cases} n_1 + n_2 - 1 & \text{if } n_2 > n_1 > 1 \\ n_2 + 2 & \text{if } n_2 > n_1 = 1 \end{cases}$ .

$os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D_3) = n_2 + 1$ .

Hence  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D) = \begin{cases} 2n_1 + 3n_2 & \text{if } n_2 > n_1 - 1 \\ n_1 + 3n_2 + 2 & \text{if } n_2 > n_1 = 1 \end{cases}$

Combining the two cases we get

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^4D) = \begin{cases} 3n_1 + 2n_2 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 - 1 \\ 3n_1 + n_2 + 3 & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 = 0 \\ 2n_1 + 3n_2 & \text{if } n_2 > n_1 - 1 \\ n_1 + 3n_2 + 2 & \text{if } n_2 > n_1 = 1 \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.5:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  $^5D = A \cup B$  where  $A$  is the set of vertices  $v_1, v_3$  and  $B$  is set of  $n_2$  pendent vertices, then

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^5D) = os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^5D_1) + (os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(^5D_1) - 1) + \dots + (n_2 + 2).$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^5D$ , which contain vertices of degrees  $n_1+1, 2 \& n_2$  pendent vertices. Then  $|{}^5D|=n_2+2$ . The set  $V-{}^5D$  contains vertex of degree  $n_2+2, n_1$  pendent vertices, a vertex of degree 1,  $u_1$ . Then  $|V-{}^5D|=n_1+2$ . There are  $n_1+1$  pendent vertices, hence oidegree of  $n_1+1$  vertices are 1. For the vertex of degree  $n_2+2$ , idegree 0, odegree is  $n_2+2$ , odegree is  $n_2+2$ . Hence  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^5D_1)=n_1+n_2+3$ .

By theorem in [2],  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^5D)=os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^5D_1)+(os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^5D_1)-1)+...+(n_2+2)$ .

**Lemma 4.6:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^6D=({}^5D)^c$ , then  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^6D)=(n_1+n_2+2)+(n_1+n_2+1)+...+(n_2+2)+n_2$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^6D=({}^5D)^c$ . By the above case  $|{}^6D|=n_1+2$  and  $|V-{}^6D|=n_2+2$ . All the vertices in  $V-{}^6D$  are independent vertices and therefore idegrees of all the  $n_2+2$  vertices are 0. odegrees of the  $n_2$  pendent vertices and 1 and hence oidegree is aslo 1. For  $v_1$  odegree and oidegree are  $n_1+1$ . The degree of vertex  $v_3$  is 2. Hence oidegree is also 2. Therefore  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^6D_1)=n_1+n_2+2$ . By algorithm in [2], the value decreses one by one for  $n_1$  number of times. Hence  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^6D_{n_1})=n_2+2, os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^6D_{n_1+1})=n_2$ .

Hence  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^6D)=(n_1+n_2+2)+(n_1+n_2+1)+...+(n_2+2)+n_2$ .

**Lemma 4.7:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^7D=A\cup B\cup C$  where A is the singleton set  $v_1$  and B is the vertex  $u_2$  and C is the  $n_2$  pendent vertices, then

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D)=\begin{cases} (n_1+n_2)+(n_1+n_2-1)+...+(n_2+1)+n_2+2 & \text{if } n_2 > 2 \\ (n_1+1)+(n_1+2)+(n_1+1)+...+3+2 & \text{if } n_2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^7D$  which contains vertices  $v_1, u_2$  and  $n_2$  pendent vertices. Then  $|{}^7D|=n_2+2$  and  $|V-{}^7D|=n_1+2$ . It contains vertex of degree  $n_2+2, v_2, n_1$  pendent vertices and a vertex of degree 2. For the  $n_1$  pendent vertices, oidegree is 1. For the vertex of degree 2, idegree is 1, odegree 1 and oidegree 0. For the vertex of degree  $n_2+2$ , idegree is 1, odegree is  $n_2+1$ , oidegree is  $n_2$ . Hence  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D_1)=n_1+n_2$ . If  $n_2 > 2$ , then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}$  reduces one by one for  $n_1+1$  number of steps. Then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D_{n_1})=n_2$ . The vertex of degree  $n_2+2$  is shifted to the D set then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D_{n_1+3})=2$ .

Hence  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D)=(n_1+n_2+1)+(n_1+n_2)+...+(n_2+1)+n_2+2$ .

If  $n_2 = 1$ , then the vertex to be shifted is vertex of degree  $n_2+2$ , then the oidegree of vertex of degree 2 is changed to 2. Then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D_2)=n_1+2$ . Then the value reduces one by one for  $n_1$  number of times.

Then  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D_{n_1+2})=2$ . Therefore  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D)=(n_1+1)+(n_1+2)+(n_1+1)+...+3+2$

Combining both the cases,

$$sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^7D)=\begin{cases} (n_1+n_2)+(n_1+n_2-1)+...+(n_2+1)+n_2+2 & \text{if } n_2 > 2 \\ (n_1+1)+(n_1+2)+(n_1+1)+...+3+2 & \text{if } n_2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.8:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^8D = ({}^7D)^c$  then,  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^8D) = (n_1 + 2 + n_2) + (n_1 + 1 + n_2) + \dots + (n_1 + 1)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating set  ${}^8D = ({}^7D)^c$ . By above case  $|{}^8D| = n_1 + 2$  and  $|V - {}^8D| = n_2 + 2$ . All the vertices are independent in  $V - {}^8D$  and they have indegree 0. Hence they have their degrees as their oidegree. There are  $n_2 + 1$  pendent vertices of degree 1, a vertex of degree  $n_1 + 1$ . Therefore  $os\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^8D_1) = n_1 + 2 + n_2$ .

Hence by theorem in [2],  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^8D) = (n_1 + 2 + n_2) + (n_1 + 1 + n_2) + \dots + (n_1 + 1)$ .

**Theorem 4.9:**

Let  $T_{d=4,t3}$  be a tree of diameter 4 and of type 3 with the given minimal dominating sets  ${}^iD = 1, 2, \dots, 8$  with  $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 2$  then

- (i)  $HD+(T_{d=4,t3}) = sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^5D)$
- (ii)  $HD(T_{d=4,t3}) = sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}({}^2D)$

**Proof:**

By the Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6, Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8, the results hold good.

**Remark 4.10:** Trees of type 3 and type 4 are isomorphic to each other. Hence replacing  $n_1$  by  $n_3$  in the  $sos\mathcal{E}_{T_{d=4,t3}}(D)$  we get the result.

**References:**

1. Acharya B.D., Rao S.B., Sumathi P., Swaminathan V., Energy of a set of vertices in a graph, AKCE J. Graphs. Combin., 4 No. 2(2007), 145-152.
2. Jeyakokila S.P., Sumathi P., A note on soEnergy of Stars, Bistars and Double stars graphs, Bulletin of the international Mathematical Virtual Institute., Vol. 6(2016), 105-113.
3. Jeyakokila S.P. and Sumathi P., soEnergy of some standard graphs, Procedia Computer Science, Volume 47, 2015, pages 360-367
4. Jeyakokila S.P. and Sumathi P., A note on soEnergy of Cocktailparty and crown graphs, International Journal of Applied Science and Mathematics Volume 3, Issue 1.