



FACTORS INFLUENCING CAREER DECISIONS OF DOCTORATE RECIPIENTS

Dr. V. Sreehari* & S. Sai Satyanarayana Reddy**

* Professor in MBA, Vardhaman College of Engineering, Shamshabad, Hyderabad, Telangana

** Professor of CSE, Vardhaman College of Engineering, Shamshabad, Hyderabad, Telangana

Cite This Article: Dr. V. Sreehari & S. Sai Satyanarayana Reddy, "Factors Influencing Career Decisions of Doctorate Recipients", International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education, Volume 8, Issue 1, Page Number 36-39, 2023.

Copy Right: © IJERME, 2023 (All Rights Reserved). This is an Open Access Article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract:

Doctorate recipients face a critical decision point in their careers, choosing between academic and non-academic paths. This paper aims to explore the factors influencing their choices and investigates the job satisfaction levels of doctorate recipients working in non-academic organizations. By proposing theoretical frameworks to understand the career decision-making process, and employing multilevel models to examine individual and organizational variables, this study provides insights into the factors that shape career choices for doctorate recipients.

Introduction:

Absolutely, pursuing a doctorate degree requires a significant investment of time, effort, and dedication. Doctorate recipients indeed possess advanced knowledge and expertise in their respective fields, which makes them valuable assets in various sectors. The decision to pursue an academic or non-academic career after obtaining a doctorate is influenced by a combination of factors, as you mentioned. Let's explore these factors in more detail:

- **Personal Aspirations:** Doctorate recipients may have personal goals and aspirations that influence their career choices. Some individuals are passionate about conducting research, advancing knowledge in their field, and teaching, which aligns with an academic career. Others may have a desire to apply their expertise in practical settings, contribute to industry, or pursue entrepreneurial endeavours, leading them towards non-academic career paths.
- **Financial Considerations:** Financial factors play a significant role in career decision-making. Academic careers, particularly tenure-track positions, often offer a level of stability and benefits, but they may not provide the same earning potential as some non-academic careers. Doctorate recipients may consider their financial responsibilities, such as student loan debt, family obligations, and the cost of living, when deciding between academic and non-academic paths.
- **Work-Life Balance:** Work-life balance is an essential consideration for many individuals. Academic careers often involve a combination of teaching, research, and administrative responsibilities, which can be demanding and require long working hours. Non-academic careers may offer more flexibility in terms of work schedules, allowing individuals to balance their personal and professional lives according to their preferences.
- **Job Security:** Job security is a significant factor influencing career decisions. In academia, tenure-track positions provide a level of job security once the tenure is granted, offering stability and the opportunity for long-term career development. However, tenure-track positions have become increasingly competitive, and securing a permanent academic position can be challenging. Non-academic careers may offer alternative pathways to job security, such as industry positions or government roles.
- **Opportunities for Professional Growth:** Doctorate recipients are often motivated by opportunities for professional growth and advancement. In academia, career progression may involve publishing research, obtaining grants, and eventually gaining tenure. Non-academic careers can offer different avenues for growth, such as leadership positions, industry-specific certifications, or entrepreneurial ventures. Doctorate recipients may assess the potential for advancement and growth in their chosen career paths.

Understanding these factors is crucial for policymakers and institutions to support the career development of doctorate recipients effectively. Providing resources and guidance for both academic and non-academic career paths, offering professional development opportunities, and fostering collaboration between academia and industry can enhance the career prospects and overall satisfaction of doctorate recipients. Ultimately, the decision between an academic or non-academic career path is highly individual and depends on the unique combination of factors and aspirations that resonate with each doctorate recipient.

Literature Review:

The incorporation of individual and organizational variables in studying the career choices of doctorate recipients can provide a more holistic understanding of the factors that influence their decisions. By considering both individual-level factors, such as personal preferences and motivations, and organizational factors, such as work environment and support systems, researchers can gain insights into the complex dynamics at play.

Individual-level factors have traditionally been the focus of studies on career choices. These factors include personal interests, values, career goals, and motivations. Understanding the individual-level factors helps explain why some doctorate recipients choose academic careers, while others opt for industry or other non-academic paths. For example, some individuals may be driven by a passion for research and teaching, while others may be more interested in the practical applications of their knowledge or seek work-life balance.

However, organizational factors can also significantly influence career decisions. These factors encompass the characteristics of the employing organization, such as its culture, resources, opportunities for advancement, and support for work-life integration. For instance, an organization with a strong research focus and ample funding may attract individuals who are inclined towards academic careers. On the other hand, a company that offers attractive industry positions, competitive salaries, and opportunities for career growth may attract doctorate recipients to non-academic paths.

The interplay between individual and organizational factors is crucial in shaping career decisions. While individual preferences and motivations play a central role, organizational factors can either reinforce or challenge these preferences. For example, an individual may have a strong inclination towards an academic career, but if they perceive limited job prospects or inadequate support for research in academia, they may be more likely to pursue industry positions.

To gain a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing career choices, researchers can employ mixed-method approaches. Surveys, interviews, and longitudinal studies can help capture both individual-level variables (e.g., preferences, motivations) and organizational-level variables (e.g., work environment, support systems). By examining these factors in conjunction, researchers can identify patterns, relationships, and potential causal mechanisms that contribute to career choices.

The findings of such research can have practical implications for various stakeholders. Universities and academic institutions can use the insights to enhance their support systems and create more attractive research and teaching environments. Employers in different sectors can gain insights into the factors that attract and retain doctorate recipients, helping them tailor their recruitment strategies and work environments. Policy makers can also benefit from a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing career choices, enabling them to develop policies that support the diverse career aspirations of doctorate recipients.

In summary, incorporating both individual and organizational variables in the study of career choices among doctorate recipients allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that shape these decisions. By considering personal preferences and motivations alongside organizational characteristics, researchers can shed light on the complex interplay between individual choices and the opportunities and support provided by employing organizations.

Theoretical Frameworks:

To capture the complex decision-making process of doctorate recipients, this paper proposes two theoretical frameworks. The first framework integrates individual-level factors, including personal goals, research interests, financial considerations, and work-life balance, to explain the decision to pursue an academic or non-academic career. The second framework incorporates organizational-level factors, such as job opportunities, organizational culture, and support for research and innovation, to examine how these factors impact career choices.

Methodology:

Data for this study will be collected through surveys and interviews with doctorate recipients across different disciplines. The surveys will capture individual-level variables, including career aspirations, preferences, and motivations, while the interviews will provide qualitative insights into the decision-making process. Additionally, job satisfaction levels of doctorate recipients working in non-academic organizations will be evaluated using validated scales.

Results and Analysis:

Multilevel models are a statistical technique used to analyze data that has a hierarchical structure, such as individual data nested within organizations or other higher-level units. In the context you mentioned, multilevel models will be employed to examine the effects of individual and organizational variables on career choices and job satisfaction among doctorate recipients.

By using multilevel models, researchers can account for the nested nature of the data, where individual doctorate recipients are nested within different organizations. This allows for a more accurate analysis by considering both individual-level factors (such as personal aspirations, preferences, and characteristics) and organizational-level factors (such as work environment, support systems, and career development opportunities) simultaneously.

The analysis will provide insights into how these individual and organizational variables interact and influence career decisions and job satisfaction. For example, it may uncover how personal aspirations align or conflict with organizational contexts, or how specific organizational factors contribute to higher job satisfaction among doctorate recipients.

By considering multiple levels of analysis, the results from these multilevel models can offer a nuanced understanding of the complex factors at play. This approach acknowledges that career choices and job satisfaction are influenced by both personal motivations and the organizational environments in which individuals operate. Consequently, researchers can identify key factors that impact career decisions and job satisfaction among doctorate recipients and highlight the interplay between individual aspirations and organizational contexts.

Implications and Conclusion:

This study aims to contribute to the existing literature on career choices of doctorate recipients by incorporating organizational factors and evaluating job satisfaction in non-academic settings. The findings will have implications for career counseling, professional development programs, and policy initiatives aimed at supporting doctorate recipients in making informed career decisions.

The study described aims to expand the current understanding of career choices made by individuals who have obtained a doctoral degree. It seeks to go beyond the traditional focus on academic settings and instead explores the influence of organizational factors on career decisions and job satisfaction in non-academic settings. The findings of this study will have important implications for various stakeholders involved in supporting and advising doctorate recipients.

By incorporating organizational factors into the analysis, the study acknowledges that career choices are influenced by more than just individual preferences or academic achievements. It recognizes the impact of the work environment, organizational culture, and other factors that shape career trajectories. This broader perspective can provide valuable insights into the career decision-making process of doctorate recipients. The study's focus on job satisfaction in non-academic settings is also noteworthy. While academic careers have traditionally been the primary path for doctorate recipients, many individuals with doctoral degrees now pursue careers outside of academia. By evaluating job satisfaction in these non-academic settings, the study aims to shed light on the factors that contribute to the fulfillment and well-being of doctorate recipients in various professional contexts.

The implications of the study's findings are significant for different stakeholders. Career counselors and advisors can benefit from a more comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing career choices. They can use this knowledge to provide more informed guidance and support to doctorate recipients, helping them explore diverse career paths and make decisions aligned with their interests and goals.

Professional development programs can also benefit from the study's findings. By identifying the organizational factors that contribute to job satisfaction, these programs can design interventions and resources that address the specific needs and challenges faced by doctorate recipients in non-academic careers. This targeted support can enhance the professional growth and overall satisfaction of individuals with doctoral degrees in a variety of fields.

In summary, this study's incorporation of organizational factors and evaluation of job satisfaction in non-academic settings contributes to the existing literature on career choices of doctorate recipients. The findings hold implications for career counseling, professional development programs, and policy initiatives. By understanding the multifaceted factors that shape career decisions, stakeholders can create an environment that encourages diverse career paths for doctorate recipients, leading to enhanced job satisfaction and fulfillment in their chosen careers.

References:

1. Bender, K. A., and John S. Heywood. 2009. Educational mismatch among Ph.D.s: Determinants and consequences. In R. B. Freeman and D. L. Goroff (Eds.) *Science and Engineering Careers in the United States: An Analysis of Markets and Employment*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
2. Bender, Keith A., and John S. Heywood. (2006). Job satisfaction of the highly educated.
3. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 53(2), 253-79.
4. Cognard-Black, A. J. (2004). *Nice work if you can get it: Determinants of academic employment and other workplace rewards among new doctorate recipients*. Ohio State University, ProQuest, UMI Dissertations Publishing.
5. Figueroa, M.A. (2004). *Doctoral student socialization and faculty career choice*. The Claremont Graduate University, ProQuest, UMI Dissertations Publishing.
6. Golde, C. M. & Dore, T.M. (2004). The survey of doctoral education and career preparation: The importance of disciplinary contexts. In D.H. Wulff, A.E. Austin, & Associates (Eds.), *Path to the professoriate: Strategies for enriching the preparation of future faculty* (pp. 19-45). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

7. Golde, C.M., & Dore, T. M. (2001). At cross-purposes: What the experience of today's doctoral students reveal about doctoral education. Philadelphia, PA: A report prepared for the Pew Charitable Trusts. Retrieved from <http://www.phdsurvey.org>.
8. Goldstein, H. (2011). Multilevel statistical models, 4th Edition. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Son.
9. Gupta, D. (2004). The careers and return migration of foreign-born United States PhDs.
10. University of California, Berkeley. ProQuest, UMI Dissertations Publishing).
11. Hagedorn, L. S. (1996). Wage equity and female faculty job satisfaction: The role of wage differentials in a job. *Research in Higher Education*, 37 (5), 569-98.
12. Hagedorn, L. S. (2000). Conceptualizing faculty job satisfaction: Components, theories, and outcomes. *New Directions for Institutional Research*, 105, 5–20.
13. Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
14. Herzberg, F., Mausner, B. & Snyderman, B. (1959). *The motivation to work* (2nded.). New York: Wiley.
15. Hesli, V.L., & Lee, J.M. (2013). Job satisfaction in academia: Why are some faculty members happier than others? *Political Science & Politics*, 46(2), 339-354.
16. Kalleberg, A. (1977). Work values and job rewards: A theory of job satisfaction. *American Sociological Review*, 42(1), 124–43.
17. Kim, D., & Otts, C. (2010). The effect of loans on time to doctorate degree: Differences by race/ethnicity, field of study, and institutional characteristics. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 81(1), 1-32.
18. Krumboltz, J. D. (1975). A social learning theory of career decision making. In A. M. Mitchell, g.
19. B. Jones, & J. D. Krumboltz (Eds.), *A social learning theory of career decision making*
20. (pp. 13-39). Palo Alto, CA: American Institutes for Research.
21. Leung, T., Siu, O. & Spector, P.E. (2000). Faculty stressors, job satisfaction, and psychological distress among university teachers in Hong Kong: The role of locus of control.
22. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 7, 121–38.
23. Lindholm, J.A. (2004). Pathways to the professoriate: The role of self, others, and environment in shaping academic career aspirations. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 75(6), 603-35.
24. Liu, M. (2001). The adaptation and experience of foreign-born faculty members in the United States. Claremont Graduate University. ProQuest, UMI Dissertations Publishing.
25. Lockard, C.B. and Wolf, M. (2012). Occupational employment projections to 2020. *Monthly Labor Review*, January, 84-108. Retrieved from <http://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2012/01/art5full.pdf>
26. Milan, L. (2014). Characteristics of doctoral scientists and engineers in the United States: 2010.
27. Technical Notes, April. Retrieved from: http://ncesdata.nsf.gov/doctoratework/2010/sdr_2010_tech_notes.pdf
28. Mirza, S. (2005). Job satisfaction among research and development scientists: The relationship of leadership practices and job characteristics. Capella University, ProQuest, UMI Dissertations Publishing.
29. Mitchell, L. K., & Krumboltz, J. D. (1996). Krumboltz's learning theory of career choice and counseling. In D. Brown and L. Brooks (Eds.), *Career choice and development* (3rd ed., pp. 233-280). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.